arXiv:1612.06009v2 [gr-qc] 18 Feb 2017

Source with nonzero radial pressure for the Vaidya metric

Hristu Culetu,
Ovidius University, Department of Physics and Electronics,
Bld. Mamaia 124, 900527 Constanta, Romania,
e-mail : hculetu@yahoo.com

February 21, 2017

Abstract

A null fluid with radial pressure is proposed as the source generating Vaidya spacetime. The fluid is anisotropic with no transversal pressures and $p = \rho/3$ as its equation of state, where p is the isotropic pressure and ρ is the energy density. The radial energy flux is inward directed when $\dot{m} > 0$ and the total energy flow crossing a surface of constant r resembles the Brown-York quasilocal energy. A modified Vaidya metric removes the singularity at the origin and the corresponding QLE is investigated in terms of both r and the mass $m(v)$ of the object.
Keywords: radial pressure, apparent horizon, quasilocal energy, null fluid.

1 Introduction

In General Relativity, the Vaidya solution [1] is a nonstatic generalization of the Schwarzschild geometry and has some unique features. It describes the geometry of unpolarized radiation, represented by a null fluid emerging from a spherically symmetric source [2]. Therefore, the Vaidya metric may model back-reaction effects for evaporating black holes (BHs). Glass and Krisch [3] extended the Vaidya geometry to include both a radiation fluid and a string fluid (see also [4] by same authors) by allowing the mass to be a function of both retarded time and distance along the outgoing null geodesics.

The collapse of the null fluid described by the Vaidya solution in the flat Minkowski space has been studied by Dadhich and Ghosh [5]. They first obtained the analogue of the Vaidya line-element on the brane for studying the collapse of the null fluid in a flat Minkowski cavity on the brane, the back reaction of the bulk on the brane, favouring formation of a BH against naked singularity (see also [6]). The end state of collapse of the null radiation with a string fluid was considered by Govinder and Govender [7]. They also investi-

gated the collapse of Ricci-flat metrics and showed that the presence of a string fluid could lead to the appearance of locally naked singularity for null fluids.

McClure et al. [8] analyzed cosmological versions of Vaidya's radiating stellar exterior. They found a two-fluid solution that consists of a null fluid and an imperfect fluid which contains an inhomogeneous dark energy (DE) component with negative energy density for the spacetimes of an accelerating cosmological reference frame. Baccetti et al. [9] assumed an early onset of BH evaporation and investigated its consequences for the simple system of a collapsing uniform thin shell, with an outgoing Vaidya metric outside [10] and Minkowski metric inside. They obtained the equation of motion of the collapsing shell by means of the junction conditions on metric and the extrinsic curvature.

The BH evaporation in conformal gravity was studied by Bambi et al. [11]. Since massless particles are naturally conformally invariant, the simplest model of gravitational collapse in conformal gravity is given by the collapse of a thin shell of radiation with Vaidya's space outside the shell and conformally-flat inside. They chose the radiation stress tensor to be that of a null dust (see [12], where the authors generalized the Vaidya solution in de Rham-Gabadadze-Tolley massive gravity.

Our motivation in this paper is too look for a null fluid with nonzero radial pressure as the source of the Vaidya geometry. Sec.2 introduces the imperfect fluid stress tensor and studies its structure and the kinematical properties of a timelike congruence of observers. In Sec.3 one calculates the total energy flow through a $r = const.$ surface and its relation with the Brown-York energy W. A modified regular form of the Vaidya metric is introduced in Sec.4 and its properties are investigated. The modified quasilocal energy W is analyzed in Sec.5, both as a function of r and as a function of mass m of the object, a celestial body or a BH. We conclude with some general remarks in Sec.6.

Throughout the paper we use geometrical units $G = c = 1$, unless otherwise specified.

2 Null fluid with radial pressure

Vaidya [13] solved Einstein's equations for a spherically-symmetric radiative body with the stress tensor of radiation $T_{ab} = \rho l_a l_b$, where l_a is a null vector directed radially and ρ is defined as the energy density of radiation measured locally by an observer with 4-velocity field u^a, so that $\rho = T_{ab}u^a u^b$ [12].

The line-element can be written as

$$ds^2 = -(1 - \frac{2m(v)}{r})dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where $v = t + r^*$, t is Schwarzschild time coordinate, r^* is the tortoise coordinate and v is the advanced ingoing time coordinate. The parameter $m(v)$ is the mass of the radiating spherically-symmetric object and $d\Omega^2$ stands for the metric of the unit two-sphere. We consider only the region $r > 2m(v)$ for (2.1), to avoid the signature flip at the horizon. It is valid for both ordinary celestial

objects and for BHs. The geometry (2.1) is generated by the energy-momentum tensor given above, with only one nonzero component $T^r_{\ v} = \dot{m}/4\pi r^2$ and zero trace, where $\dot{m} = dm/dv$. In other words, it corresponds to a null fluid with no pressures, i.e., a null dust. That interpretation originates from the fact that the tensor

$$T^a_{\ b} = \rho l^a l_b \tag{2.2}$$

resembles the stress tensor for a perfect fluid

$$T_{ab} = (p + \rho)u_a u_b + p g_{ab} \tag{2.3}$$

when $p = 0$. We remind that Li et al. [12] have not specified what is the 4-velocity u^a, namely, what are its components.

We consider now a different interpretation of the source of the Vaidya metric and take the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to an imperfect fluid with energy flux [14]

$$T_{ab} = (p_t + \rho)u_a u_b + p_t g_{ab} + (p_r - p_t)n_a n_b + u_a q_b + u_b q_a, \tag{2.4}$$

where p_r is the radial pressure, p_t are the transversal (tangential) pressures, q^a is the energy flux 4-vector and n^a is a unit spacelike vector orthogonal to u^a. We have $u_a n^a = 0,\ u_a u^a = -1,\ n_a n^a = 1$ and $u_a q^a = 0$. We look now for the expression of the quantities $\rho,\ p_r,\ p_t,\ q^a$ for (2.2) and (2.3) to represent the same stress tensor.

Let us take a velocity vector field of the form (for simplicity we replaced $m(v)$ with m)

$$u^a = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}}, 0, 0, 0 \right), \tag{2.5}$$

i.e. an observer sitting at $r = const$. From (2.5) and the properties of n^a, we find that

$$n^a = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}}, \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}, 0, 0 \right), \quad n_a = \left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}}, 0, 0 \right). \tag{2.6}$$

As we previously specified, the mixed stress tensor which generates (2.1) has only one nonzero component: $T^r_{\ v} = \dot{m}/4\pi r^2$. Defining, as obvious, the energy density of the null fluid as $\rho = T^a_{\ b} u^b u_a$, it is an easy task to obtain

$$\rho = \frac{\dot{m}}{4\pi r^2 (1 - \frac{2m}{r})} \tag{2.7}$$

where $u_a = (-\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}, 1/\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}, 0, 0)$ has been used. Keeping in mind that $T^\theta_{\ \theta} = T^\phi_{\ \phi} = 0$, the expression for p_t results immediately from (2.4): $p_t = 0$, so that the fluid has no tangential pressures. The trace of (2.4) yields

$$T^a_{\ a} = -\rho + p_r + 2p_t = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

whence $p_r = \rho$. Hence, the null fluid has a nonzero radial pressure. From here we find that the isotropic pressure is $p = (p_r + 2p_t)/3 = p_r/3 = \rho/3$, as for incoherent radiation.

The current is obtained from (2.4) as

$$q^a = -T^a_b u^b - \rho u^a, \tag{2.9}$$

which gives us

$$q^a = \left(-\frac{\dot{m}}{4\pi r^2 (1-\frac{2m}{r})^{3/2}}, -\frac{\dot{m}}{4\pi r^2 (1-\frac{2m}{r})^{1/2}}, 0, 0 \right) \tag{2.10}$$

and $q = \sqrt{q^a q_a} = |\rho|$, as it should be for a null fluid.

Having now the physical parameters entering (2.4), we may compare T^a_b from (2.4) with that from (2.2), to obtain

$$l^a = u^a - n^a, \tag{2.11}$$

whence

$$l^a = (0, -\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}, 0, 0), \quad l_a = (-\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}, 0, 0, 0). \tag{2.12}$$

With the help of u^a from (2.5), we get the components of the acceleration 4-vector of the congruence

$$a^v = \frac{m}{r^2(1-\frac{2m}{r})} + \frac{\dot{m}}{r(1-\frac{2m}{r})^2}, \quad a^r = (1-\frac{2m}{r})a^v, \quad a^\theta = a^\phi = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

The proper acceleration reads

$$\sqrt{a^b a_b} = \frac{\dot{m}}{r(1-\frac{2m}{r})^{3/2}} + \frac{m}{r^2(1-\frac{2m}{r})^{1/2}} \tag{2.14}$$

and the scalar expansion is given by

$$\Theta \equiv \nabla_a u^a = \frac{\dot{m}}{r(1-\frac{2m}{r})^{3/2}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Let us discuss briefly the energy conditions fulfilled by the stress tensor (2.4). We consider our source of the Vaidya solution is better than the standard one, where the radial pressure does not appear. It is hidden by the null vector l^a which in our interpretation is a difference between a timelike vector u^a and a spacelike vector n^a, orthogonal to u^a. The standard interpretation as a null dust is introduced by a formal analogy with a perfect fluid with no pressures. We conjectured a general expression (2.4) of the source and imposed it to be traceless and to have one nonzero component only, i.e. T^r_v, for to be in accordance with the standard solution.

We have used the choice $r > 2m(v)$, otherwise v becomes a spacelike coordinate.

With $\dot{m} > 0$ (we are working with ingoing radiation), $\rho = p_r \geq 0$ ($\rho = 0$ is obtained when $\dot{m} = 0$, namely in the static situation). In other words, the WEC is obeyed. Same is valid for the other energy conditions: NEC, SEC and DEC. Being null, the fluid observes the condition $\rho = |q|$. It is worth noting that ρ is divergent at the origin and at the evolutionary hypersurface $r = 2m(v)$ (the apparent horizon), because of the nonstatic character of the spacetime [1]. We shall see later how the divergence at $r = 0$ could be removed. The currents q^r and q^v from (2.10) are inward directed because $\dot{m} > 0$ and are also divergent on the horizon. As far as the acceleration of the congruence is concerned, we notice that the invariant acceleration from (2.14) becomes the standard Schwarzschild counterpart when $\dot{m} = 0$.

3 Brown - York quasilocal energy

Having now the components of the stress tensor and the basic physical quantities associated to it, our next task is to compute the total energy flow measured by an observer laying at $r = const.$ [14]

$$W = \int T^a_{\ b} u^b n_a \sqrt{-\gamma} dv \ d\theta \ d\phi, \tag{3.1}$$

where u^b and n^b are given by (2.5) and (2.6), respectively. To find γ we remove the r-line and r- column in g_{ab} and obtain $\gamma = -(1 - 2m/r)r^4 sin^2\theta$. With $T^r_{\ v} = \dot{m}/4\pi r^2$, Eq. (3.1) yields

$$W = \int T^r_{\ v} u^v n_r \sqrt{-\gamma} dv \ d\theta \ d\phi = \int \frac{dm}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}}, \tag{3.2}$$

where r is fixed and m is the variable of integration. One obtains

$$W(m) = -r\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} + g(r), \tag{3.3}$$

with $g(r)$ a constant of integration (w.r.t. m). It may be determined by imposing that $W = 0$ when m vanishes, so that $g(r) = r$. Hence

$$W(m) = r\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

A plot of $W(m)$ versus m, at constant r, is depicted in Fig.1. One notes that the derivative $\partial W/\partial m$ diverges at $m = r/2$. Eq. (3.4), taken as a function of r, resembles the quasilocal energy (QLE) for a Schwarzschild BH [15]. An

[1] The apparent horizon location may be obtained from $g^{ab} R_{,a} R_{,b} = 0$, where $R = r$ is the areal radius. One obtains $g^{rr} = 1 - 2m(v)/r = 0$, or $r = 2m(v)$ We could have also used the method from [16, 17] (the vanishing of the scalar expansion on the null vector field along null geodesics).

observation is in order here: Lundgren et al. plotted $W(r)$ even for $r < 2m$. However, we have to keep in mind that r becomes timelike in that region and to cover both regions ($r < 2m$ and $r > 2m$) in the same plot seems to be inappropriate.

Due to the square root from (3.4), the derivative $\partial W/\partial m$ is infinite at the horizon, which is like the infinite value of $\partial E(r)/\partial r$ from the Lundgren et al. approach. We also observe that the derivation of the QLE $E(r)$ by the authors of [15] remains valid even when the mass of the object is time-dependent, as in our situation, because the expressions of the connection coefficients that we need are [15]

$$\Gamma^{\theta}_{r\theta} = \Gamma^{\phi}_{r\phi} = \frac{1}{r}, \tag{3.5}$$

as in the static case. Therefore, the extrinsic curvature of the two-boundary preserves its value.

4 Regularized Vaidya spacetime

It is clear from (2.1) that we must impose $r \geq 2m(v)$ since at $r = 2m(v)$ a signature flip takes place and v becomes a spacelike coordinate. In addition, being nonstatic, the metric (2.1) has no a timelike Killing vector and so an event horizon cannot be obtained. In that case an apparent horizon is more appropriate. To extend the metric (2.1) beyond the evolutionary surface $r = 2m(v)$ we introduce an exponential factor in the metric, rendering the stress tensor regular at the origin. Therefore, we propose the geometry

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m(v)}{r}e^{-\frac{2m(v)}{er}}\right)dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{4.1}$$

with $lne = 1$. The above line-element may be obtained by a similar manner as that for (2.1). We begin with the regularized Schwarzschild spacetime [18]

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{2m}{er}}\right)dt^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{2m}{er}}}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{4.2}$$

and move to the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates with

$$dr^* = \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{2m}{er}}}, \quad v = t + r^*, \tag{4.3}$$

that will give

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{2m}{er}}\right)dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2 d\Omega^2. \tag{4.4}$$

The above recipe works also when $m = m(v)$, even though r^* cannot be obtained explicitely as a function of r and m. We showed in [19] that, for

$m = const.$, $-g_{vv} \equiv f(r,m)$ from (4.1) is nonnegative for any $r > 0$ and vanishes at $r = 2m/e \equiv r_H$. We check now the positivity of $-g_{vv}$ for any $m(v) > 0$, with $r = const.$. We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m} = -\frac{2}{r}\left(1 - \frac{r_H}{r}\right) e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}, \tag{4.5}$$

which is vanishing at $m = er/2$ and $f(er/2) = 0 = f_{min}$. Therefore, $f(m)$ is nonnegative for any positive m. A plot of $f(m)$ against m, for constant r is given in Fig.2 In addition, $f(m)$ tends to unity at $m = 0$ and when $m \to \infty$. The dependence of $f(m)$ on m is similar with that of $f(r)$ at constant m [19]. Hence, $0 \leq f(r,m) \leq 1$, for any r and m.

As far as the components of u^a and n^a in the geometry (4.1) is concerned, they will have the same structure as those from (2.5) and (2.6), excepting the square root which is $(1 - \frac{2m(v)}{r} e^{-\frac{2m(v)}{er}})^{1/2}$. We obtain now the following expressions for ρ and p_r, using same arguments as those from Sec.2

$$8\pi\rho = \frac{4m^2}{er^4} e^{-\frac{2m}{er}} + \frac{2\dot{m}(1 - \frac{r_H}{r}) e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{r^2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}\right)} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$8\pi p_r = -\frac{4m^2}{er^4} e^{-\frac{2m}{er}} + \frac{2\dot{m}(1 - \frac{r_H}{r}) e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{r^2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}\right)} \tag{4.7}$$

The transversal pressures are

$$8\pi p_t = \frac{4m^2}{er^4}\left(1 - \frac{m}{er}\right) e^{-\frac{2m}{er}}, \tag{4.8}$$

which does not depend on $\dot{m}$. The energy flux 4-vector has the nonzero components

$$q^v = -\frac{\dot{m}\left(1 - \frac{r_H}{r}\right) e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{4\pi r^2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}\right)\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r} e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}}, \quad q^r = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}\right) q^v, \tag{4.9}$$

with

$$\sqrt{q^a q_a} = \frac{\dot{m}|1 - \frac{r_H}{r}| e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{4\pi r^2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}\right)^2}. \tag{4.10}$$

One observes that the trace

$$T^a_{\ a} = -\frac{8m^3}{e^2 r^5} e^{-\frac{2m}{er}} \tag{4.11}$$

is always negative. Therefore, we have no longer a null fluid but an anisotropic fluid with energy flux. In the static case ($\dot{m} = 0$), ρ and p_r acquire the corresponding values from [20], which are regular in the whole spacetime. Compared

to (2.7), they are vanishing at the origin. The conclusion is that the exponential factor from the line-element (4.1) renders ρ and p_r finite only at the origin of coordinates, but not at the apparent horizon $r = r_H$, due to the variable mass $m(v)$. In addition, the nonstatic character of the metric (4.1) leads to a nonvanishing scalar expansion of the congruence

$$\Theta \equiv \nabla_a u^a = \frac{\dot{m}(1-\frac{r_H}{r})e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{r\left(1-\frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}\right)}, \tag{4.12}$$

which diverges at $r = r_H$, is negative for $r < r_H$, positive for $r > r_H$ and vanishes when $r \to 0$.

5 Brown-York energy for the modified Vaidya metric

To find the expression of the total energy W crossing a surface of constant r, we follow up the same prescription from the beginning of Sec.3. We obtain now

$$T^r{}_v = \frac{\dot{m}(1-\frac{r_H}{r})e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{4\pi r^2}, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$u^v = n_r = 1/\sqrt{1-\frac{r_H}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}, \quad \sqrt{-\gamma} = \sqrt{1-\frac{r_H}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}r^2 sin\theta \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore

$$W = \int \frac{\dot{m}(1-\frac{r_H}{r})e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{r^2\left(1-\frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}\right)}\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}r^2 dv, \tag{5.3}$$

which gives us

$$W = \int \frac{(1-\frac{r_H}{r})e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}}\, dm. \tag{5.4}$$

We get finally

$$W(m) = r\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}\right). \tag{5.5}$$

where the same boundary conditions have been applied, as per (3.4). It is worth noticing that $W(m)$ seems to have the same mathematical structure as that from (3.4), though the integrands were very different. That gives us the opportunity to consider the same physical meaning as in Sec.3: $W(m)$ represents the Brown-York energy enclosed by a $r = const.$ hypersurface.

Let us first study the behaviour of W from (5.5) w.r.t. r, taking $m = const.$ We observe that $W(r) \to m$ when $r \to \infty$, as expected, and is vanishing at

$r = 0$. We might, of course, calculate $W(r)$ directly, following the recipe from [15]

$$W(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_B (K - K_0)\sqrt{\sigma}d^2x \tag{5.6}$$

where $\sigma = det(\sigma_{ab})$ and $\sigma_{ab} = g_{ab} + u_a u_b - n_a n_b$ is the induced metric on the two boundary B. K in (5.6) is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of B and K_0 corresponds to the vacuum (i.e., when $m = 0$). But the connection coefficients (3.5) are also valid for the spacetime (4.1) so that the expression (5.5) emerges.

A more detailed investigation of the function $W(r)$ needs the derivative $\partial W/\partial r$. We look now for the extremal of $W(r)$, if any. From

$$\frac{\partial W(r)}{\partial r} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}} - \frac{m(1 - \frac{r_H}{r})e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{r\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}} = 0 \tag{5.7}$$

and after one squaring, we arrive at

$$1 + \frac{r_H}{r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{e}}e^{\frac{r_H}{2r}} \tag{5.8}$$

which is a transcendental equation. We show that (5.8) has a unique solution. A new variable $x = r_H/2r$ yields

$$e^x = \frac{\sqrt{e}}{2}(1 + 2x). \tag{5.9}$$

One observes that the two curves (the straight line from the r.h.s. and the exponential) have the same tangent at $x = 1/2$ where the two functions are equal. In conclusion, $r = r_H$ is the single solution of (5.8). Nevertheless, at $r = r_H$, $W(r)$ is not extremal because the denominator is vanishing there. Taking the limit of the derivative when $r \to r_H$, one obtains the value $1 + \sqrt{2}/2$ when $r < r_H$ and $1 - \sqrt{2}/2$ for $r > r_H$. We see that, at the apparent horizon $r = r_H$, $\partial W/\partial r$ is, however, finite, contrary to its infinite value from[15] (see authors' comments above their Eq.18). We have also $W(r_H) = r_H = 2m/e$. In Fig.3 is depicted the QLE in terms of r, both inside and outside the horizon.

Let us take now a look at the function $W(m)$, at constant r, given by (5.5). One notes in passing that $W(m)$ is undetermined as we do not have the expression of $m(v)$. Our procedure gives no indication of how to determine it. As in the previous section, one must solve the equation $\partial W/\partial m = 0$, to find the extremal values of $W(m)$, if any. From (5.5) we have

$$\frac{\partial W(m)}{\partial m} = \frac{(1 - \frac{r_H}{r})e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}e^{-\frac{r_H}{r}}}} \tag{5.10}$$

Therefore, $W(m)$ grows from zero at $m = 0$ to r at $m = er/2$ in the region $m < er/2$ and drops from r to zero at infinity in the region $m > er/2$, for a given r. The derivative (5.10) is not defined at $m = er/2$ where both the

numerator and denominator vanish. Therefore, we have to compute the side limits at that point, which gives the values $\sqrt{2}/e$ for $m < er/2$ and $-\sqrt{2}/e$ for $m > er/2$. Fig.4 shows the dependence of $W(m)$ on m. In other words, $W(m)$ is not differentiable at $m = er/2$ but, nevertheless, both side limits are finite. In addition, there is an inflexion point at $m = er$. Consequently, $W(m)$ is always positive, vanishes at $m = 0$ and acquires its maximum value when our observer is located at the horizon.

6 Concluding remarks

The properties of the Vaidya solution of Einstein's equations with a null fluid as source are investigated in this paper. In contrast with the standard Vaidya solution, our fluid has a nonzero radial pressure, equal to the energy density. In our region of interest ($r > 2m(v)$),all energy conditions for the stress tensor are satisfied. Due to vanishing tangential pressures, the equation of state of the fluid is $p = \rho/3$, in agreement with that of null radiation. The total energy flow crossing a $r = const.$ hypersurface turns out to represent the Brown-York quasilocal energy.

References

[1] P. C. Vaidya, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. A33, 264 (1951).

[2] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B449, 24 (1999).

[3] E. N. Glass and J. P. Krisch, Phys. Rev. D57, 5945 (1998) (arXiv: gr-qc/9803040).

[4] E. N. Glass and J. P. Krisch, Class. Quantum Grav. 16, 1175 (1999) (arXiv: gr-qc/9901034).

[5] N. Dadhich and S. G. Ghosh, Phys. Lett. B518, 1 (2001) (arXiv: hep-th/0101019).

[6] T. Harko and M. J. Lake, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 064038 (arXiv: 1312.1420 [gr-qc]).

[7] K. S. Govinder and M. Govender, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 024034 (arXiv: gr-qc/0302017).

[8] M. L. McClure, K Anderson and K. Bardahl, arXiv: 0709.3288 [gr-qc].

[9] V. Baccetti, V. Husain and D. R. Terno, Entropy 19, 17 (2017) (arXiv: 1610.09834 [gr-qc]).

[10] P. C. Vaidya, Phys. Rev. 83, 10 (1951).

[11] C. Bambi et al., arXiv: 1611.05582 [gr-qc].

[12] P. Li, X.-Z. Li and X.-H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. D94, 124022 (2016) (arXiv: 1612.00543 [gr-qc]).

[13] P. C. Vaidya, Nature 171, 260 (1953).

[14] H. Culetu, Progr. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2016), 123E02 (arXiv: 1605.04467 [gr-qc]).

[15] A. P. Lundgren, B. S. Schmekel and J. W. York, Jr., Phys. Rev. D75 (2007) 084026 (arXiv: gr-qc/0610088).

[16] A. B. Nielsen, Dong-han Yeom, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A24: 5261-5285 (2009).

[17] H. Culetu, Class. Quantum Grav.29 (2012), 235021 (arXiv: 1202.4296 [gr-qc]).

[18] H. Culetu, Phys. Dark Univ. 14 (2016) 1-3 (arXiv: 1508.01102 [gr-qc]).

[19] H. Culetu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D24 (9) (2015) 1542001.

[20] H. Culetu, arXiv: 1305.5964 [gr-qc].



Figure 1: The total energy flow measured by a $r = const.$ observer. $W(m)$ is not differentiable at $m = r/2$.



Figure 2: The metric coefficient $-g_{vv} = f(m)$ from Eq.(4.4), for constant r.



Figure 3: The quasilocal energy $W(r)$ from Eq.5.5, versus r. $W(r)$ is not differentiable at the horizon $r = r_H$ and becomes the ADM mass at infinity.



Figure 4: The plot of the function $W(m)$ in terms of m, at constant r. It reaches its maximum value $W = r$ at $m = er/2$, where the two side derivatives are different but finite.